

Coefficients of Chiral Perturbation Theory

Bing An Li

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kentucky

Lexington, KY 40506, USA

Abstract

Based on an effective chiral theory of pseudoscalar, vector, and axial-vector mesons, the coefficients of the chiral perturbation theory are predicted. There is no new parameter in these predictions. The current quark masses, m_η , f_K , and f_η are determined too.

The chiral perturbation theory(ChPT) proposed a decade ago[1] is successful in parametrizing low-energy QCD . The chiral symmetry revealed from QCD , quark mass expansion, and momentum expansion are used to construct the Lagrangian of ChPT

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} = & \frac{f_\pi^2}{16} Tr D_\mu U D^\mu U^\dagger + \frac{f_\pi^2}{16} Tr \chi (U + U^\dagger) + L_1 [Tr(D_\mu U D^\mu U^\dagger)]^2 + L_2 (Tr D_\mu U D_\nu U^\dagger)^2 \\
& + L_3 Tr(D_\mu U D^\mu U^\dagger)^2 + L_4 Tr(D_\mu U D^\mu U^\dagger) Tr \chi (U + U^\dagger) + L_5 Tr D_\mu U D^\mu U^\dagger (\chi U^\dagger + U \chi) \\
& + L_6 [Tr \chi (U + U^\dagger)]^2 + L_7 [Tr \chi (U - U^\dagger)]^2 + L_8 Tr(\chi U \chi U + \chi U^\dagger \chi U^\dagger) \\
& - i L_9 Tr(F_{\mu\nu}^L D^\mu U D^\nu U^\dagger + F_{\mu\nu}^R D^\mu U^\dagger D^\nu U) + L_{10} Tr(F_{\mu\nu}^L U F^{\mu\nu R} U^\dagger). \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

The parameters in the chiral perturbation theory(1) are pion decay constant f_π and the 10 coefficients. These parameters are determined by fitting experimental data[1,2,3,4,5,6,7].

Table 1: Values of the coefficients[1(b)]

$10^3 L_1$	$10^3 L_2$	$10^3 L_3$	$10^3 L_4$	$10^3 L_5$	$10^3 L_6$	$10^3 L_7$	$10^3 L_8$	$10^3 L_9$	$10^3 L_{10}$
$.9 \pm .3$	$1.7 \pm .7$	-4.4 ± 2.5	$0. \pm .5$	$2.2 \pm .5$	$0. \pm 0.3$	$-.4 \pm .15$	$1.1 \pm .3$	$7.4 \pm .7$	$-6. \pm .7$

The chiral perturbation theory is rigorous and phenomenologically successful in describing the physics of the pseudoscalar mesons at low energies. Models attempt to deal with the two main frustrations that the ChPT is limited to pseudoscalar mesons at low energy and

contains many coupling constants which must be measured. The price paid for including more mesons and determining the coefficients is to make additional assumption. Many models try to predict the chiral couplings(see Table 2[8]). As pointed in Ref.[8], the most reliable one appears to be the influence of the low-lying resonances[4]. There are many attempts to construct an effective theory containing vector and axial-vector mesons too[9]. Obviously, a successful extension should recover the results obtained by the ChPT and predict the coefficients of the ChPT.

Table 2: Coefficients obtained by models

	Expt	Vectors[4]	Quark[2]	Ref.[6]	Ref.[7]	Nucleon loop	Linear σ model
$L_1+\frac{1}{2}L_3$	-.9	-2.1	-.8	2.1	1.1	-.8	-.5
L_2	1.9	2.1	1.6	1.6	1.8	.8	1.5
L_9	7.1	7.3	6.3	6.7	6.1	3.3	.9
L_{10}	-5.6	-5.8	-3.2	-5.8	-5.2	-1.7	-2.0

I have proposed an effective chiral theory of pseudoscalar, vector, and axial-vector mesons[10,11]. It provides a unified study of meson physics at low energies. It is used to predict all the coefficients of the ChPT in this paper. Before doing that it is meaningful to present more detailed arguments about the ansatz made in this theory. The ansatz is that the meson fields

are simulated by quark operators. For example,

$$\rho_\mu^i = -\frac{1}{g_\rho m_\rho^2} \bar{\psi} \tau_i \gamma_\mu \psi. \quad (2)$$

The ansatz(2) can be tested. Applying PCAC, current algebra, and this expression(2) to the decay $\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$, under the soft pion approximation it is derived

$$\frac{1}{2} f_{\rho\pi\pi} g_\rho = 1 \quad (3)$$

which is just the result of the VMD[12]. As a matter of fact, using fermion operator to simulate a meson field has a long history. More than six decades ago, Jordan et al[13] observed

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \partial_\mu \phi = \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \psi \quad (4)$$

in 1 + 1 field theory. A similar relation as Eq.(4) is proved in the bosonization of 1+1 field theory[14]. On the other hand, using quark operators to simulate meson fields have been already exploited in the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio(NJL) model[15], a model of four quark interactions.

In Refs.[10,11] the simulations of the meson fields by quark operators are realized by a Lagrangian which is constructed by using chiral symmetry and the minimum coupling principle

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(x)(i\gamma \cdot \partial + \gamma \cdot v + \gamma \cdot a\gamma_5 - mu(x))\psi(x) - \bar{\psi}(x)M\psi(x)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}m_0^2(\rho_i^\mu\rho_{\mu i}+K^{*\mu}K_\mu^*+\omega^\mu\omega_\mu+\phi^\mu\phi_\mu+a_i^\mu a_{\mu i}+K_1^\mu K_{1\mu}+f^\mu f_\mu+f_{1s}^\mu f_{1s\mu}) \quad (5)$$

where M is the quark mass matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_s \end{pmatrix},$$

$v_\mu = \tau_i \rho_\mu^i + \lambda_a K_\mu^{*a} + (\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8)\omega_\mu + (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8)\phi_\mu$, $a_\mu = \tau_i a_\mu^i + \lambda_a K_{1\mu}^a + (\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8)f_\mu + (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8)f_{1s\mu}$, and $u = \exp\{i\gamma_5(\tau_i\pi_i + \lambda_a K_a + \lambda_8\eta_8 + \eta_0)\}$. u can be written as

$$u = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5)U + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5)U^\dagger, \quad (6)$$

where $U = \exp\{i(\tau_i\pi_i + \lambda_a K_a + \lambda_8\eta + \eta_0)\}$. Since pions, kaons and η are Goldstone bosons we treat them differently from vector and axial-vector mesons. Through the scheme of the nonlinear σ model the pseudoscalar mesons are introduced to the Lagrangian(5). This treatment is backed by the ChPT. In the Lagrangian of the ChPT(1) the first two terms are from the nonlinear σ model. The matrix representations of the pseudoscalar mesons, U and $U^\dagger$, in Eq.(5) are the same as the ones in the ChPT(1). Spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking is revealed from this mechanism[10] when taking $u \rightarrow 1$. On the other hand, mesons are bound states solutions of QCD they are not independent degrees of freedom. Therefore, there are no kinetic terms for meson fields. The kinetic terms of meson fields are generated from quark loops[10,11]. Using the least action principle, the relationship between meson

fields and quark operators are found from the Lagrangian(5). Taking the case of two flavors as an example, from the least action principle

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \Pi_i} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \eta} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \rho_\mu^i} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta a_\mu^i} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \omega_\mu} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta f_\mu} = 0, \quad (7)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Pi_i}{\sigma} &= i(\bar{\psi}\tau_i\gamma_5\psi + ix\bar{\psi}\tau_i\psi)/(\bar{\psi}\psi + ix\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi), \\ x &= (i\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi - \frac{\Pi_i}{\sigma}\bar{\psi}\tau_i\psi)/(\bar{\psi}\psi + i\frac{\Pi_i}{\sigma}\bar{\psi}\tau_i\gamma_5\psi), \\ \rho_\mu^i &= -\frac{1}{m_0^2}\bar{\psi}\tau_i\gamma_\mu\psi, \quad a_\mu^i = -\frac{1}{m_0^2}\bar{\psi}\tau_i\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\psi, \\ \omega_\mu &= -\frac{1}{m_0^2}\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi, \quad f_\mu = -\frac{1}{m_0^2}\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\psi, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\sigma + i\gamma_5\tau \cdot \Pi = ue^{-i\eta\gamma_5}$, $\sigma = \sqrt{1 - \Pi^2}$, and $x = \tan\eta$. The pseudoscalar fields have very complicated quark structures. Substituting the expressions(8) into the Lagrangian(5) of two flavors, a Lagrangian of quarks is obtained. It is no longer a theory of four quark interactions. The vector and axial-vector parts are the same as the ones in the NJL model. However, the pseudoscalar part is different from the NJL model.

As shown in Refs.[10,11] there are explicit chiral symmetry(PCAC[19]), dynamical chiral symmetry breaking, and large N_C expansion. They originate in QCD . However, theoretically, we do not know how to prove the ansatz of simulating the meson fields by quark operators for 1 + 3 QCD . We use the Lagrangian(5) to study meson physics at low energies to see whether the Lagrangian is phenomenologically successful. In Refs.[10,11] the

Lagrangian(5) has been used to calculate the masses and electromagnetic, weak, and strong properties of the pseudoscalar, vector, and axial-vector mesons. Theoretical results agree well with the data. Taking the masses of the ρ and the a_1 meson as an example of the results obtained by this theory. The mass of the ρ meson is originated in dynamical chiral symmetry breaking[16]. The mass difference of the ρ and the a_1 mesons is from spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking[10].

Large N_C expansion is part of this effective chiral theory[10]. All the calculations are done at the tree level and meson loops are at higher order in large N_C expansion[10]. All the masses of the mesons are less than the cut-off[10]. Therefore, this theory is self-consistent.

By using the ansatz, this effective chiral theory extends the ChPT to include vector and axial-vector mesons. However, this theory faces the second challenge: predicting all the coefficients of the ChPT. This is the task of this paper. The study done in this paper is at the tree level.

As a matter of fact, in Ref.[10] two of the coefficients have been determined from the study of $\pi\pi$ scattering

$$\alpha_2 = -\alpha_1 = c^2, \tag{9}$$

$$c = \frac{f_\pi^2}{2gm_\rho^2}, \tag{10}$$

where $\alpha_{1,2}$ are defined in Ref.[4] and g is the universal coupling constant of this theory[10,11].

Comparing with Eq.(1), we obtain

$$\alpha_1 = 4L_1 + 2L_3, \quad \alpha_2 = 4L_2. \quad (11)$$

Eq.(9) is the same as the one obtained in Ref.[4] in which the ρ resonance participates in $\pi\pi$ scattering. From Eq.(11) it is obtained

$$2(L_1 + L_2) + L_3 = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{4}c^2. \quad (13)$$

The decay K_{l4} has been used to determine the coefficients of ChPT[17,18]. Both vector and axial-vector currents contribute to K_{l4} . In this paper we only try to predict L_3 from K_{l4} . Complete study of K_{l4} is beyond the scope of this paper. In Ref.[19] we have presented a bosonized axial-vector current(Eq.(72) of Ref.[19]) which has been used to study many τ mesonic decays. Theoretical results agree well with data. Using the axial-vector current and the vertices $K_A K^* \pi$, $K^* K \pi$, $K_A \rho k$, $\rho K \bar{K}$, and $\rho \pi \pi$ presented in Ref. [19] in the chiral limit, the form factors of the matrix element of the axial-vector current of K_{l4}

$$\langle \pi^+(p_+) \pi^-(p_-) | A_\mu | K^+(k) \rangle = -\frac{i}{m_K} \{ F(p_+ + p_-)_\mu + G(p_+ - p_-)_\mu + R(k - p_+ - p_-)_\mu \} \quad (14)$$

can be calculated. The calculation is similar to $\tau \rightarrow K^* \pi \nu, K \rho \nu$ done in Ref.[19]. Using Eqs.(40,43) of the form factor G of Ref.[18], it is derived

$$L_3 = -\frac{1}{4} \{ gc - \frac{3}{2}c^2 + \frac{3}{8\pi^2} (1 - \frac{2c}{g})^2 \}. \quad (15)$$

The same L_3 is obtained by calculating related term of the form factor F_1^{+-} defined in Ref.[17].

From Eqs.(12) the L_1 is obtained

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{8} \left\{ gc - \frac{7}{2} c^2 + \frac{3}{8\pi^2} \left(1 - \frac{2c}{g}\right)^2 \right\}. \quad (16)$$

It is learned from Ref.[10] that $g^2 \sim O(N_C)$, $f_\pi^2 \sim O(N_C)$, and $m_\rho^2 \sim O(1)$ in large N_C expansion. Therefore, $L_{1,2,3} \sim O(N_C)$. The predictions of L_{1-3} are made at the tree level, therefore, the Eq.(12) actually means that $2(L_1 + L_2) + L_3 \sim O(1)$ in large N_C expansion.

According to Ref.1(b), the coefficients L_{4-8} are determined from the quark mass expansions of m_π^2 , m_K^2 , m_η^2 , f_π , f_K , and f_η . Therefore, the quark mass term of the Lagrangian(5) is needed to be taken into account in deriving the effective Lagrangian of mesons. The expressions of the masses and decay constants of the pseudoscalars are found from the real part of the effective Lagrangian. Using the method presented in Ref.[10], in Euclidean space the real part of the effective Lagrangian of mesons with quark masses is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{RE} = & \frac{1}{2} \int d^D x \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{(p^2 + m^2)^n} \\ & Tr \{ (\gamma \cdot \partial - i\gamma \cdot v + i\gamma \cdot a\gamma_5) (\gamma \cdot \partial - i\gamma \cdot v - i\gamma \cdot a\gamma_5) + 2ip \cdot (\partial - iv - ia) \\ & + m\gamma \cdot Du - i[\gamma \cdot v, M] + i\{\gamma \cdot a, M\}\gamma_5 - m(\hat{u}M + Mu) - M^2 \}^n. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $D_\mu u = \partial_\mu u - i[v_\mu, u] + i\{a_\mu, u\}\gamma_5$ and $\hat{u} = \exp\{-i\gamma_5[\tau_i\pi_i + \lambda_a K_a + \lambda_8\eta_8 + \eta_0]\}$.

Comparing with Eq.(11) of Ref.[10], there are new terms in which the quark mass matrix M is involved. As done in Ref.[10], the mesons fields in Eq.(17) are needed to be normalized.

The masses of the pseudoscalar mesons are found from the coefficients of the terms $\pi_i\pi_i$, K_aK_a , $\eta_8\eta_8$ in the effective Lagrangian(17). The masses of the pseudoscalars can be calculated to any order in quark masses. Up to the second order in quark masses we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{\pi^\pm}^2 &= \frac{4}{f_{\pi^\pm}^2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle (m_u + m_d) - \frac{F^2}{4} (m_u + m_d)^2 \right\}, \\
m_{\pi^0}^2 &= \frac{4}{f_{\pi^0}^2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle (m_u + m_d) - \frac{F^2}{2} (m_u^2 + m_d^2) \right\}, \\
m_{K^+}^2 &= \frac{4}{f_{K^+}^2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle (m_u + m_s) - \frac{F^2}{4} (m_u + m_s)^2 \right\}, \\
m_{K^0}^2 &= \frac{4}{f_{K^0}^2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle (m_d + m_s) - \frac{F^2}{4} (m_d + m_s)^2 \right\}, \\
m_{\eta_8}^2 &= \frac{4}{f_{\eta_8}^2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \frac{1}{3} (m_u + m_d + 4m_s) - \frac{F^2}{6} (m_u^2 + m_d^2 + 4m_s^2) \right\}, \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

where F^2 is defined in Ref.[10] as

$$F^2 = \frac{N_C}{\pi^4} m^2 \int \frac{d^4p}{(p^2 + m^2)^2},$$

$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$ is the quark condensate of three flavors. In terms of a cut-off Λ the quark condensate is expressed as (using Eqs.(40) and (43) of Ref.[10])

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr} \int d^4p \frac{\gamma \cdot p - m}{p^2 - m^2} = \frac{m^3 N_C}{4\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - \log\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} + 1\right) \right\}. \quad (19)$$

The universal coupling constant g is expressed as[10]

$$g^2 = \frac{F^2}{6m^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left\{ \log\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} + 1\right) + \frac{1}{\frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} + 1} - 1 \right\}. \quad (20)$$

The decay constants of the pseudoscalars f_π , f_K , and f_η are defined by normalizing the pseudoscalar fields as done in Refs.[10,11]. In this paper we calculate the contributions of the quark masses to these decay constants to $O(m_q)$. Using the effective Lagrangian(17), the decay constants can be calculated to any order in quark masses. As indicated in Refs.[10,11], there is mixing between the axial-vector field and corresponding pseudoscalar field. The mixing results in the shifting of the axial-vector field a_μ

$$a_\mu \rightarrow \frac{1}{g_a} a_\mu - \frac{c_a}{g_a} \partial_\mu P, \quad (21)$$

where P is the corresponding pseudoscalar field, g_a is the normalization constant of the a_μ field, and c_a is the mixing coefficient. Both g_a and c_a are determined in the chiral limit in Ref.[10]. For pion and a_1 fields it is obtained by eliminating the mixing between pion field and a_1 field

$$\frac{c_a}{g_a} = \frac{1}{g_a^2 m_a^2} \left\{ \frac{F^2}{2} + \left(\frac{F^2}{8m^2} - \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \right) 2m(m_u + m_d) \right\}, \quad (22)$$

where m_a is the mass of the a_1 meson which is determined from the Lagrangian(17) as

$$g_a^2 m_a^2 = F^2 + g^2 m_\rho^2 + 6g_{0a}^2 m(m_u + m_d), \quad (23)$$

where g_{0a}^2 is expressed as[10]

$$g_{0a}^2 = g^2(1 - \frac{1}{2\pi^2 g^2}). \quad (24)$$

Up to the first order in quark masses, the decay constant f_π^2 is obtained from the Lagrangian(17)

$$f_\pi^2 = f_{\pi 0}^2 \{1 + f \frac{m_u + m_d}{m}\}, \quad (25)$$

where

$$f_{\pi 0}^2 = F^2(1 - \frac{2c}{g})[10] \quad (26)$$

and

$$f = (1 - \frac{2c}{g})(1 - \frac{1}{2\pi^2 g^2}) - 1 + \frac{4}{\pi^2 f_{\pi 0}^4}(-\frac{1}{3}) < \bar{\psi}\psi > m(1 - \frac{2c}{g})(1 - \frac{c}{g}), \quad (27)$$

In the same way, $f_{K^+}^2$, $f_{K^0}^2$, and $f_{\eta_8}^2$ are found

$$f_{K^+}^2 = f_{\pi 0}^2 \{1 + f \frac{m_u + m_s}{m}\}, \quad (28)$$

$$f_{K^0}^2 = f_{\pi 0}^2 \{1 + f \frac{m_d + m_s}{m}\}, \quad (29)$$

$$f_{\eta_8}^2 = f_{\pi 0}^2 \{1 + \frac{1}{3}f \frac{m_u + m_d + 4m_s}{m}\} \quad (30)$$

Substituting Eqs.(25,28,29,30) to Eq.(18), to the second order in quark masses the masses of pion and kaon are obtained

$$m_{\pi^\pm}^2 = \frac{4}{f_{\pi 0}^2} \{-\frac{1}{3} < \bar{\psi}\psi > (m_u + m_d) - \frac{F^2}{4}(m_u + m_d)^2 + \frac{f}{3} < \bar{\psi}\psi > \frac{1}{m}(m_u + m_d)^2\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{\pi^0}^2 &= \frac{4}{f_{\pi^0}^2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle (m_u + m_d) - \frac{F^2}{2} (m_u^2 + m_d^2) + \frac{f}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \frac{1}{m} (m_u + m_d)^2 \right\}, \\
m_{K^+}^2 &= \frac{4}{f_{\pi^0}^2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle (m_u + m_s) - \frac{F^2}{4} (m_u + m_s)^2 + \frac{f}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \frac{1}{m} (m_u + m_s)^2 \right\}, \\
m_{K^0}^2 &= \frac{4}{f_{\pi^0}^2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle (m_d + m_s) - \frac{F^2}{4} (m_d + m_s)^2 + \frac{f}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \frac{1}{m} (m_d + m_s)^2 \right\}, \\
m_{\eta_8}^2 &= \frac{4}{f_{\pi^0}^2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \frac{1}{3} (m_u + m_d + 4m_s) - \frac{F^2}{4} \frac{2}{3} (m_u^2 + m_d^2 + 4m_s^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{f}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \frac{1}{9} \frac{1}{m} (m_u + m_d + 4m_s)^2 \right\} \\
&= \frac{4}{f_{\pi^0}^2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \frac{1}{3} (m_u + m_d + 4m_s) + \left(\frac{f}{m} \frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle - \frac{F^2}{4} \right) \frac{1}{9} (m_u + m_d + 4m_s)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{2F^2}{9} \left[\frac{1}{2} (m_u + m_d) - m_s \right]^2 - \frac{F^2}{12} (m_d - m_u)^2 \right\}. \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

The dependence of the meson masses(31) on the quark masses are the same as the ones presented in Ref.(1(b)). The mass difference of charged pion and neutral pion is found from Eqs.(31)

$$m_{\pi^\pm}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2c}{g}\right)^{-1} (m_d - m_u)^2. \tag{32}$$

Comparing with Eqs.(31) with the Eqs.(10.7,10.8) of Ref.(1(b)), following coefficients of the ChPT are predicted

$$L_4 = 0, \quad L_6 = 0, \tag{33}$$

$$L_5 = \frac{f_{\pi^0}^2 f}{8mB_0}, \tag{34}$$

$$L_8 = -\frac{F^2}{16B_0^2}, \tag{35}$$

$$3L_7 + L_8 = -\frac{F^2}{16B_0^2}, \quad L_7 = 0, \tag{36}$$

where

$$B_0 = \frac{4}{f_{\pi 0}^2} \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right) < \bar{\psi}\psi > . \quad (37)$$

Using Eq.(37), L_5 and L_8 are written as

$$L_5 = \frac{1}{32Q} \left(1 - \frac{2c}{g}\right) \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{2c}{g}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\pi^2 g^2}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{2c}{g}\right) + \frac{4}{\pi^2} Q \left(1 - \frac{c}{g}\right) \right\}, \quad (38)$$

$$L_8 = -\frac{1}{1536g^2Q^2} \left(1 - \frac{2c}{g}\right)^2, \quad (39)$$

where

$$Q = -\frac{1}{3} \frac{m}{F^4} < \bar{\psi}\psi > . \quad (40)$$

The Eqs.(19,20) show that Q is a function of the universal coupling constant g only. The numerical value of Q is 4.54.

Both L_5 and L_8 are at $O(N_C)$. L_4 , L_6 , and L_7 are from loop diagrams of mesons and are at $O(1)$ in large N_C expansion.

By inputting the values of $m_{\pi^+}^2$, $m_{K^+}^2$, $m_{K^0}^2$, and f_π^2 ($f_\pi = 0.184 GeV$) into the Eqs.(18,25) we are able to determine the values of the three current quark masses and F^2 . The universal coupling constant $g = 0.39$ is chosen to fit the decay rate of $\rho \rightarrow e^- e^+$. The results are

$$F^2 = 0.0537 GeV^2, \quad (41)$$

$$m_u = 0.91 MeV, \quad m_d = 2.15 MeV, \quad m_s = 52.2 MeV. \quad (42)$$

The values of the quark masses are less than their traditional values[20]. As pointed out in

Ref.[20], the absolute values of m_q are model dependent. The values of m_q determined by lattice gauge calculation are smaller too[21]. Using Eqs.(41,42), the f_K is calculated to be

$$f_K = 0.215 GeV,$$

$$f_K = 1.17 f_\pi.$$

The experimental data is $f_K = 1.22 f_\pi$. The deviation of the theoretical result from the experiment is about 4%. To determine m_η and f_η the mixing between η_8 and η_0 is needed to be taken into account. From the effective Lagrangian(17) the terms related to the masses of η and η' are derived

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\eta\eta'} = & -\frac{1}{2}\eta_8^2\{-\frac{1}{3}\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle + \frac{1}{3}(m_u + m_d + 4m_s) - \frac{F^2}{6}(m_u^2 + m_d^2 + 4m_s^2)\} \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\eta_0^2\{-\frac{1}{3}\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle + \frac{2}{3}(m_u + m_d + m_s) - \frac{F^2}{3}(m_u^2 + m_d^2 + m_s^2) + m_g^4\} \\ & -\eta_0\eta_8\{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{9}\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle + (m_u + m_d - 2m_s) - \frac{\sqrt{2}F^2}{12}(m_u^2 + m_d^2 - 2m_s^2)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where m_g is a parameter resulted by the $U(1)$ problem[22], in Eq.(43) η_0 and η_8 are not normalized yet. The mixing is introduced as

$$\eta_8 = \eta \cos\theta - \eta' \sin\theta, \quad \eta_0 = \eta \sin\theta + \eta' \cos\theta. \quad (44)$$

Eliminating the crossing term of $\eta\eta'$ and inputting $m_{\eta'}$ to determine m_g , we obtain

$$m_\eta^2 = \frac{4}{f_\eta^2} \{ \cos^2\theta [-\frac{1}{9}\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle + (m_u + m_d + 4m_s) - \frac{F^2}{6}(m_u^2 + m_d^2 + 4m_s^2)] \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sin^2 \theta \left[-\frac{2}{9} \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle (m_u + m_d + m_s) - \frac{F^2}{3} (m_u^2 + m_d^2 + m_s^2) + m_g^4 \right] \\
& + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sin 2\theta \left[\frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle (m_u + m_d - 2m_s) + \frac{F^2}{4} (m_u^2 + m_d^2 - 2m_s^2) \right] \}, \\
f_\eta^2 = & F^2 \left(1 - \frac{2c}{g} \right) \left\{ 1 + \left[\left(1 - \frac{2c}{g} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\pi^2 g^2} \right) - 1 \right] \left(\sin^2 \alpha \frac{1}{m} (m_u + m_d) + \cos^2 \alpha \frac{2}{m} m_s \right) \right\} \\
& + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(1 - \frac{2c}{g} \right) \left(1 - \frac{c}{g} \right) \frac{4}{3f_{\pi 0}^2} \left\{ \cos^2 \theta \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right) \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle (m_u + m_d + 4m_s) \right. \\
& + \sin^2 \theta \left[-\frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle 2(m_u + m_d + m_s) + 3m_g^4 \right] \\
& \left. + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sin 2\theta \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle (m_u + m_d - 2m_s) \right\}, \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \theta + 35.26^\circ$ ($\sin 35.26^\circ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$). The numerical results are

$$\theta = 7.84^\circ, m_\eta^{th} = 0.569 GeV, \quad m_\eta^{exp} = 0.548 GeV, \tag{46}$$

$$f_\eta = 0.24 GeV = 1.3 f_\pi. \tag{47}$$

The theoretical value of m_η deviates from the experiment by 3.8%. The values of the mixing angle and f_η can be tested by the decay $\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma$. Because the quark mass correction has been taken into account in calculating f_η , the effect of quark masses has to be included in calculating the decay amplitude. This study is beyond the scope of this paper.

According to Refs.[1(b),3], L_9 and L_{10} are determined by $\langle r^2 \rangle_\pi$ and the amplitudes of pion radiative decay, $\pi^- \rightarrow e^- \gamma \nu$

$$L_9 = \frac{f_\pi^2}{48} \langle r_\pi^2 \rangle, \tag{48}$$

$$L_9 = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{R}{F^V}, \tag{49}$$

$$L_{10} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{F^A}{F^V} - L_9, \quad (50)$$

where R , F^V , and F^A are r_A , h_V , and h_A of Ref.[3] respectively. In Ref.[19] we have derived the expression of pion radius as

$$\langle r^2 \rangle_\pi = \frac{6}{m_\rho^2} + \frac{3}{\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{2c}{g}\right)^2 - 4\pi^2 c^2 \right\} \quad (51)$$

which agrees with the data very well. Using Eqs.(48,51), it is predicted[23]

$$L_9 = \frac{1}{4}cg + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{2c}{g}\right)^2 - 4\pi^2 c^2 \right\}, \quad (52)$$

On the other hand, L_9 is determined by Eq.(49) too. The three form factors of the decay amplitude of $\pi^- \rightarrow e^- \gamma \nu$ are presented in our paper[19]

$$F^V = \frac{m_\pi}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2 f_\pi}, \quad (53)$$

$$F^A = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \frac{m_\pi}{f_\pi} \frac{m_\rho^2}{m_a^2} \left(1 - \frac{2c}{g}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\pi^2 g^2}\right)^{-1}, \quad (54)$$

$$R = \frac{g^2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{m_\pi}{f_\pi} \frac{m_\rho^2}{m_a^2} \left\{ \frac{2c}{g} + \frac{1}{\pi^2 g^2} \left(1 - \frac{2c}{g}\right) \right\} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\pi^2 g^2}\right)^{-1} + \sqrt{2}cg \frac{m_\pi}{f_\pi} [24]. \quad (55)$$

Using the mass formula of the a_1 meson(in the chiral limit)[10]

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\pi^2 g^2}\right) m_a^2 = \frac{F^2}{g^2} + m_\rho^2,$$

it is obtained

$$\frac{m_\rho^2}{m_a^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\pi^2 g^2}\right)^{-1} = 1 - \frac{2c}{g}. \quad (56)$$

Substituting Eq.(56) into R(55), it is derived

$$R = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}m_\pi f_\pi < r^2 >_\pi . \quad (57)$$

This is the expression obtained by applying PCAC to this process[25]. Therefore, the coefficient L_9 derived from Eq.(49) is the same as the one obtained from Eq.(48).

Using Eq.(56), the ratio $\frac{F^A}{F^V}$ is written as

$$\frac{F^A}{F^V} = (1 - \frac{2c}{g})^2. \quad (58)$$

The coefficient L_{10} is found from Eq.(50)

$$L_{10} = -\frac{1}{4}cg + \frac{1}{4}c^2 - \frac{1}{32\pi^2}(1 - \frac{2c}{g})^2. \quad (59)$$

Both L_9 and L_{10} are at $O(N_C)$.

In the expressions of the coefficients(13,15,16,38,39,52,59) there are two parameters: g and c which have been determined from $\rho \rightarrow e^-e^+$ and the ratio $\frac{f_\pi^2}{m_\rho^2}$ respectively. The coefficients of the ChPT are completely determined by this effective chiral theory. The numerical values of the coefficients of ChPT are presented in table 3.

To summarize the results. The orders of the coefficients of the ChPT in large N_C expansion are predicted as $L_{1,2,3,5,8,9,10} \sim O(N_C)$ and $L_{4,6}$ are $O(1)$. These predictions agree with Ref.[1(b)]. It is also predicted that $L_7 \sim O(1)$ in this paper. The numerical value of L_7 determined in Ref.[1(b)](see Table 1) is smaller. It is interesting to notice that $L_1 + \frac{1}{2}L_3 = -1.4$

Table 3: Predictions of the Values of the coefficients

$10^3 L_1$	$10^3 L_2$	$10^3 L_3$	$10^3 L_4$	$10^3 L_5$	$10^3 L_6$	$10^3 L_7$	$10^3 L_8$	$10^3 L_9$	$10^3 L_{10}$
3.0	1.4	-8.8	0	4.77	0	0	-0.079	8.3	-7.1

which is compatible with the value shown in Table 2. It is necessary to point out that the expressions of the coefficients $L_{4,5,6,7,8}$ are derived from Eq.(31), however, Eq.(18) is used to fit the masses of the pseudoscalar mesons. It is found in this paper that this way leads to consistent determination of the quark masses. There are no new parameters in predicting the coefficients of the ChPT. The quark masses determined in this paper are closer to the lower bound obtained by lattice gauge calculation. Theoretical values of f_K and m_η^2 agree with data within about 4%.

The author wishes to thank B.Holstein for discussion. This research was partially supported by DOE Grant No. DE-91ER75661.

References

- [1] J.Gasser and H.Leutwyler, (a) Ann.Phys.(N.Y.)**58**,142(1984);(b) Nucl.Phys.
B250,465(1985); (c)**B250**, 517(1985).

- [2] J.Balog, Phys.Lett. **149B**,197(1984); P.Simic, Phys.Rev.Lett. **55**,40(1985); D.Ebert and H.Reinhardt, Nucl.Phys. **B271**,188(1986); R,E.Gamboa-Saravi et al., Ann Phys. **157**, 369(1984); S.-K.Hu et al., Phys.Rev. **D30**, 836(1984).
- [3] J.F.Donoghue and B.R.Holstein, Phys.Rev.**D40**,2378(1989).
- [4] J.F.Donoghue, C.Ramirez and G.Valencia, Phys.Rev. **D39**,1947(1989); G.Ecker, J.Gasser, A.Pich, and E.de Rafael, Nucl. Phys. **B223**,425(1989).
- [5] D.Ebert and M.K.Volkov, Phys.Lett. **101B**,252(1981); V.Bernard et al.,Phys.Lett. **B205**,16(1988); G.Valencia and M.Praszalowicz, Nucl.Phys. **B341**,27(1990).
- [6] D.Espriu,E.de Rafael and J.Taron, Nucl.Phys. **B345**, 22(1990), errat. **B355**,278(1991).
- [7] B.Holdom, J,Terning and K.Verbeck, Phys.Lett. **B232**, 351(1989).
- [8] Table 2 is quoted from J.Donogue, "Introduction to nonlinear effective field theory" , Proc. of the Workshop on Effective Field Theories of the Standard Model, Dobogoko, Hungary, Aug.22-26, 1991, p.3-18.
- [9] see review article by V.G.Meissner, Phys.Rep.**161**, 213(1988).
- [10] B.A.Li, Phys.Rev., **D52**, 5165(1995).
- [11] B.A.Li, Phys.Rev., **D52**, 5184(1995).

- [12] J.J.Sakurai, Currents and Mesons (University of Chicago Press, Chicago,1969).
- [13] P. Jordan, Z.Phys.**93**,464(1935);
98,759(1936); **99**,109(1936);**102**,243(1936); **105**,114,229(1937); A.A.Socolaw, Phys.Z.
Sowjetunion **2**,148(1937).
- [14] S.Coleman, Phys.Rev.bf D11,2088(1975); S.Mandelstam, Phys.Rev.**D11**,3026(1975).
- [15] Y.Nambu and G.Jona-Lasinio, Phys.Rev. bf 122,345(1961); **124**,246(1961); Also see
review article by T.Hatsuda and T.Kunihiro, Phys.Rep. **247**,221(1994).
- [16] B.A.Li, Proc. of the International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics,
p.225, Brussels, Belgium, 22 Jul-2 Aug 1995, edited by L.Lemonne, C.Vander Velde,
and F.Verbeure.
- [17] L.Bijnens, Nucl.Phys. **B337**,635(1990).
- [18] C.Riggenbach,J.Gasser,J.F.Donoghue, and B.R.Holstein, Phys.Rev.**D43**,127(1991).
- [19] B.A.Li, Phys.Rev., **D55**,1425(1997), 1436(1997).
- [20] J.Gasser and H.Leutwyler, Phys.Rev.**87C**,77(1982).
- [21] B.J.Gough et al, hep-ph/9610223.

- [22] E.Witten, Nucl.Phys.**B149**,285(1979); G.Veneziano, Nucl.Phys.**B159**,213(1979);
P.D.Vecchia and G.Veneziano, Nucl.Phys.**B171**,253(1980).
- [23] This expression is obtained by B.Holstein first, private communication.
- [24] In Eq.(67) of Ref.[19] the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ should be taken off and there should be an additional
term from the form factor $\frac{m_\rho^2}{m_\rho^2 - k^2}$.
- [25] A.Kersch, Nucl.Phys. **B263**,475(1986).